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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFCENT</td>
<td>Allied Land Forces Central Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA</td>
<td>Bosnian Serb Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFSP</td>
<td>Common Foreign and Security Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIS</td>
<td>Commonwealth of Independent States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COREPER</td>
<td>Committee of Permanent Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COREU</td>
<td>European Correspondent System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CJTF</td>
<td>Consultative Joint Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSCE</td>
<td>Conference on Security and Co-operation in Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTF</td>
<td>Consultative Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG</td>
<td>Directorate General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG1</td>
<td>Directorate General for External Economic Relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG1A</td>
<td>Directorate General for External Political Relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>Deutsche Mark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECHO</td>
<td>European Community Humanitarian Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPC</td>
<td>European Political Co-operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>European Communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECJ</td>
<td>European Court of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECU</td>
<td>European Currency Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDC</td>
<td>European Defence Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEC</td>
<td>European Economic Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP</td>
<td>European Parliament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUAM</td>
<td>European Union Administration of Mostar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPA</td>
<td>Foreign Policy Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FYROM</td>
<td>Former Yugoslavian Republic of Macedonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G8</td>
<td>Group of Eight Leading Industrialized Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAC</td>
<td>General Affairs Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDZ</td>
<td>Bosnian Croat Nationalist Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFOR</td>
<td>United Nations International Bosnian Protection Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMF</td>
<td>International Monetary Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INGO</td>
<td>International Non-Governmental Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IWU</td>
<td>International Police Force Document Mostar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JA</td>
<td>Joint Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoU</td>
<td>Memorandum of Understanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATO</td>
<td>North Atlantic Treaty Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>Non-Governmental Organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OSCE Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe
PIC Peace Implementation Council
QMV Qualified Majority Voting
SACEUR Supreme Allied Commander Europe
SDA Bosnian Muslim Nationalist Party
SDS Bosnian Serb Nationalist Party
SEA Single European Act
SFOR United Nations Bosnian Stabilization Force
TACIS European Union Technical and Assistance Programme for the Commonwealth of Independent States
TEU Treaty on the European Union
TOR Treaty of Rome
UPFM Unified Police Force of Mostar
UK United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
UN United Nations
UNPROFOR United Nations Bosnia Protection Force
US United States
USA United States of America
WEU Western European Union
WTO World Trade Organization
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